QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Questions were received under the following categories:

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

COUNCIL BUSINESS

8. <u>Questions on notice to:</u>

- i) The Mayor
- ii) To the Leader or Member of the Cabinet
- iii) To the Chair of any Committee or Sub-committee

1. **Question from Councillor Fower**

To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development

Local residents living along the Manor Drive Development are concerned already with a range of traffic related issues, given the planned opening of a new primary and secondary school in the coming years at this location, plus further additional housing, could the relevant Cabinet Member assure me that relevant and required road safety measures will be introduced, and whether we can look forward to a 20mph speed limit, specifically around the planned location of the schools?

Councillor Hiller responded:

The main spine road through Paston Reserve, Manor Drive, is subject to a 30mph restriction, as it will be for the remainder of it when fully built. Our highways officers will of course to monitor the traffic and the issues ongoing.

2. Question from Councillor Johnson

To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development

Residents in my ward are still upset and angry about the St Michael's Gate situation., especially highlighted this week through the court case on Monday the fact that if Chris Phillipou hadn't offered the tenant a deal that the case would have been struck out of court due to incorrect procedures according to court papers submitted. It appears that Simon Magic Homes or Stef and Phillips never obtained a "Deed Of Assignment.

Can I get clarification on the plan for St Michael's Gate and have lessons been learnt from this debacle to ensure this scandal is not repeated and does not escalate further? Also on observation, there appears too many empty properties on St Michael's Gate is the council paying for each property that they have tenants in or for the ones it doesn't?

Councillor Hiller responded:

I thank you for the opportunity and I thank Councillor Johnson for the question. The plan for the use of St Michael's Gate properties is exactly as it's always been Councillor Johnson. So I am mildly surprised you don't seem to be aware of that. It is being used for temporary accommodation for eligible households to whom the Council has a statutory duty to house.

The council only pays for the accommodation provided by the firm Stef and Philips once they have obtained vacant possession of the property and that's after the required repairs or improvements have indeed happened. I imagine the properties are being improved so some must certainly appear empty at this time. 3. **Question from Councillor Mahabadi** To Councillor Seaton, Cabinet Member for Resources Can the Cabinet Member tell me how many non-British council tax residents in Peterborough are allowed to vote in Local, Mayoral and Police & Crime Commissioner elections, and how many of these are entitled to (under legislation) as EU nationals? Would he/she agree with me that taxation without representation is not right or proper in a mature democracy, and ask the British Government on behalf of Peterborough City Council to ensure that those folk resident in Peterborough, and paying council tax, continue to be enfranchised and therefore represented through the ballot box in local elections? Councillor Seaton responded: Councillor Mahabadi there are currently 17,299 European electors registered on the Register of Electors for Peterborough. That's 17,299. These electors are entitled to vote in Local, Combined Authority Mayoral and Police & Crime Commissioner elections within the Peterborough area. The register of electors is compiled for the purposes of voting and is not linked to Council Tax and I am therefore unable to confirm how many of these electors are also registered to pay Council Tax. Clearly, we could do that piece of work it would cost money and take time so I am happy to talk to you outside this chamber if there are specific reasons you feel that is needed. Councillor Mahabadi asked a supplementary question: I guess with respect to that answer and thank you my main concern is that as we are moving towards exit of the EU that these electors, if they continue to be on our register, remain on our register, considering many of them have established themselves here as citizens, well behaved, well paying, paying taxes, etc. that was the main thrust of the question and I'd be grateful for any further steer on that. Thank you. **Councillor Seaton responded:** I must admit I wouldn't want to speculate on the way Brexit negotiations go but I do have a degree of sympathy for what you are saying. 4. Question from Councillor Murphy To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development Following a motion to this council (was it last year) numerous promises of action from the administration, the reestablishment of the working party some time ago and recommendations from scrutiny committee during the last financial year can the Cabinet Member let me know what action has been taken and what action will be taken this year to tackle pavement and verge parking through the use of traffic regulation orders as agreed by Council or if possible by any other means? **Councillor Hiller responded:** The Verge Parking Action Group proposed a Verge and Pavement Parking Policy, which was scrutinised by the appropriate committee in March of this year Mr Mayor. In summary, this policy allows for local activation of a council wide Traffic Regulation

Order which prohibits parking on the pavement and verge. This local activation would

be subject to approval by residents or at the request of the highways inspectors or indeed the emergency services. It would then require the placing of official signage at the location which could then be enforced by the Prevention and Enforcement Service by the issuing of Penalty Charge Notices. Councillor Walsh's PES team can currently only enforce verge parking where it is covered by an existing Traffic Regulation Order such as double yellow lines or specific 'no waiting on verge or footway' restrictions such as in old Dogsthorpe, Fletton Avenue and Garton End Road. Thank you, Mr Mayor. Councillor Murphy asked a supplementary question: The supplementary is actually the question that I asked. When is this going to be done? Is it going to be done this year or are you just pretending that you are going to do something and you are actually going to do nothing? **Councillor Hiller responded:** I didn't notice an element of pretence in my original answer Mr Mayor but this policy requires the necessary budget to be made available as part of the 2018 - 19 budget setting process that it can come into effect from April 2018. We on this side of the chamber are very keen for opposition groups to have sensible, open minded and engaged members taking part within and contributing to the budget setting process. Rather than your usual budget pessimism I suggest that by participation, discussion and agreement you can have your policies, initiatives and the desired methodology costed, approved and assimilated for future health and wellbeing of our great city and its residents. Participate comrade, don't procrastinate. Thank you, Mr Mayor. **Question from Councillor Khan** 5. To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities I am extremely concerned at the poor management of Gladstone Park Astro Turf play area. We spent around £200k in creating this much-needed facility, which is well used however I have seen over recent months children and young people climbing over the tall fencing to get into the facility and misuse it, playing games for which the facility is not suitable for. Despite me raising this with officers on numerous occasions over many months the situation is getting worse. I am concerned that one day someone is going to fall as they climb this tall fence and end up with serious injuries. I am asking what is being done to improve management and security at this facility? What is this Council going to do when someone falls and injures themselves? **Councillor Walsh responded:** The facilities at Gladstone Park are indeed a great asset for the area, and in fact for the city as a whole and I am pleased that they are well used. Officers have advised me that they are aware of some of the behaviours Cllr Khan describes at the centre and, as a result, have commissioned a police specialist to conduct a full site survey. The results of that survey, which should be available within the next month, will be shared with the ward Councillors and will include suggestions and recommendations of measures that can be implemented to protect the area from damage and the public from harm. We are also increasing positive engagement activities with young people in the area. A well-attended youth club and football activities are already on offer, and the

Council is proposing to introduce further activities including free cricket training

sessions. We hope this will engage young people in ways they want and enjoy, thus preventing them from misusing the facilities at Gladstone Park.

I hope, Mr Mayor, that Councillor Khan agrees that much is being done with the young people in the area to address the issue he has raised and I do hope that he engages with the police specialist assigned to the site.

Councillor Khan asked a supplementary question:

A bit disappointed because this is a serious matter and what we are looking at is perhaps a month to see what the constabulary are going to bring back to us. I have some evidence taken with my camera, the video recording. My biggest concern Mr Mayor, is, that when these young adults climb the fence on one side, on the ground floor there is one side hard-core and my biggest fear is that if somebody slips and they fall on the hard-core there some serious damage and I think I'm just wondering whether the Cabinet Member could take some steps, emergency steps to avoid that happening. Whether it's possible? One thing I suggest that could be done is that we paint it with this non-climbing paint on top of those roofs that might sort of help alleviate this?

Councillor Walsh:

As in my previous response, I did mention a police specialist is conducting a full site survey and proposals will be put forward and I do suggest that Councillor Khan engages with that specialist and any particular suggestions he has to discuss it with them. Thank you.

6. Question from Councillor Ferris

To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities

Acknowledging the excellent work done by Parking Enforcement Officers, in very difficult circumstances, and recognising the scale of the parking problems we face in older residential areas of the city; is the Cabinet Member responsible able to tell this Council how many officers are currently available and when we might see the much-needed recruitment and expansion of the service?

Councillor Walsh responded:

I thank Councillor Ferris for his question if he's here which I don't think he is. I did welcome the positive comments about the parking officers and officers with the PES are passionate about improving conditions in our communities, and I know they will appreciate the feedback.

There are currently ten Prevention and Enforcement Officers who specialise in and are equipped to conduct parking enforcement duties. This has increased by three officers since the start of the year.

A further eleven officers within the PES team are concluding their training in parking enforcement so they are able to deal with the most serious parking offences should they encounter them as part of their duties. Thank you, Mr Mayor.

7. Question from Councillor Davidson

To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities

Why are there no safeguarding laws locally in operation at Peterborough City Council for dog on dog incidents?

Councillor Walsh responded:

AP	Ρ	El	N	DI	Х	A

	APPENDIX						
	Dog on dog attacks are clearly very distressing for anybody witnessing them, and for the owners of the dogs involved. Any such incidents should be reported to the Police or can be reported into the Prevention and Enforcement Service, at which point officers from the various agencies that comprise the PES team will discuss and agree the best course of action based on the individual circumstances including the impact on the community.						
	We are in the process of letting a new stray dog contract, which now includes new requirements to promote responsible dog ownership as well as more overt enforcement of, for example, dog fouling. This contract will be managed as part of the Prevention and Enforcement Service.						
	Councillor Davidson asked a supplementary question:						
	Thank you, Councillor Walsh, for that response. Can you tell me how many incidents have been reported to the Peterborough City Council or to the veterinary practices or the police or the local dog warden? Thank you.						
	Councillor Walsh responded:						
	I'm not really quite sure specifically what number Councillor Davidson is referring to, that she wants. Is this when people go on walks with their dogs? I'm not really clear so I'd really appreciate it if we refer this question to the PES team and see what data they can come up with and I am happy to supply that. Thank you.						
8.	Question from Councillor Murphy						
	To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities						
	Can the Cabinet Member let me have details of the activities that were being held at St John's Hall last year and those that have been relocated elsewhere following its closure and can I have details of where these activities are now located and any details as promised as to where the Councillors surgery could be held at a venue within the West Town neighbourhood in the Ravensthorpe Ward.						
	Councillor Walsh responded:						
	The lease which Peterborough City Council held for St Johns Hall expired in March 2017. Three months prior to this date notice was given to all users. Furthermore, the council and the Community Association explored alternative local provision. An offer was made by the West Town Academy for community activities to move to their premises if they so wished. Only the popular pre-school took up the offer. The other groups instead chose to source alternative accommodation.						
	It should be noted that the majority of regular activities were not ward specific. Should Councillor Murphy require a list of the activities that have chosen to relocate out of his ward I am sure it can be provided? In relation to Councillor Surgeries, our information is that no surgeries had been held at the venue for the last two years. Nor was alternative provision for Councillor surgeries raised as an issue when the hall closed. It is in my experience, customary for Councillors to make arrangements themselves in						
	discussion with community venues. If, however Councillor Murphy requires assistance I am sure we can identify a suitable venue on his behalf for his surgeries. The Pupil Referral Unit maybe a possibility. Thank you, Mr Mayor.						
	I am sure we can identify a suitable venue on his behalf for his surgeries. The Pupil						
	I am sure we can identify a suitable venue on his behalf for his surgeries. The Pupil Referral Unit maybe a possibility. Thank you, Mr Mayor.						

Councillor Walsh responded: Do I regret? Councillor Murphy, the Council does what the Council has to do and it is all very well to use emotive words but the council has business to do and it must perform that business in the best possible way, being of course, sympathetic and empathetic to residents at all times. Other councils have dealt with asset transfer in a much more aggressive way. We have done it in a very, very sensitive way. Thank you, Mr Mayor. **Question from Councillor Bull** 9 To Councillor Smith, Cabinet Member for Children's Services Our TACT partnership (first fostering and adoption agency in England to take over a local authority's fostering and adoption service) officially started in April. It's early days for this innovative and ground-breaking alliance but can the Cabinet Member give us an initial indication of how well the partnership is working along with the kinds of improved outcomes for children and young people in care, and on the edge of care, that are being achieved; plus, whether we are likely to be on target to deliver savings of £1m a year, once fully established? **Councillor Smith responded:** Yes, thank you Mr Mayor and thank you Councillor Bull for your question. A great deal of work has taken place to ensure the smooth transition of not only staff, but of foster carers and resources to TACT in time for the 1st of April when the TACT Partnership took over. This has gone very well; staff have transferred across successfully and smoothly and the foster carers remain positive about those changes. It's early days, we are only three months in to a ten-year contract. TACT have already reported success in recruiting additional foster carers, and is engaging with our children's services to identify priority children and young people who are currently being looked after in residential placements, but for whom those responsible for their care planning agree that those children would be better placed in foster care. So, we are looking at transitioning those if we can. This is better for everyone; children and young people generally do better in families rather than in residential care. TACT have also been successful in bidding for Innovation Funding from the Government to develop a programme to help children and young people who return home after being in care for a period. TACT will use this funding to develop a new support programme to help children and young people transition into home. This is just one example of how bringing TACT in as a partnership will deliver benefits to children and young people. So, while it is early days, I think there are plenty of early indications that the new arrangements will be a success for the Council, TACT and, most importantly, for our carers, children, young people and their families. Councillor Bull asked a supplementary guestion: I thank my friend, the Cabinet Member for Children's Services for her very comprehensive response. Can I take it that it is too early to say what the indicative savings are for the first quarter? Thank you. Councillor Smith responded: It is too early however I have been to a couple of board meetings now and those savings do appear to be on track at the moment.

10.	Question from Councillor Ferris						
	To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities						
	What is the status of the proposed lease between Peterborough City Council and the Green Backyard for the important Community green space site on Oundle Road?						
	Councillor Walsh responded:						
	The council has been working in partnership with the Green Back Yard, to complete the lease documents. The heads of terms for the lease have been agreed by both sides, with the lease documents including the community occupation agreement being issued to the Green Back Yard to sign. The Green Back Yard are reviewing the documents and the signing agreement date is the end of this month, July. Thank you, My Mayor.						
11.	Question from Councillor Davidson						
	To Councillor Elsey, Cabinet Member for Waste and Street Scene						
	Is the white goods shop (Waste, Electric and Electrical Equipment) at Dodson House in Fengate affected by the takeover by the new contractor of the Amey contract?						
	Councillor Holdich responded:						
	I answer this question in the absence of Councillor Elsey who is the Cabinet Member responsible for this. The WEEE facility at Dodson House forms part of the core Waste and Recycling service offered by Amey and there is no change to service offered as part of these discussions. Thank you.						
	Councillor Davidson asked a supplementary question:						
	Thank you for the response Councillor Holdich. My supplementary question is what are the council's plans for the re-use shop that helps people and families on benefits and low incomes to purchase white electrical equipment, is NORSE the new contractor and when will they be taken over?						
	Councillor Holdich responded:						
	As it is not my portfolio I will get the response in writing if that's OK with you Mr Mayor?						
12.	Question from Councillor Fower						
	To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development						
	Could the relevant Cabinet Member let me know how many people have now been housed at St Michael's Gate, how much the Council pays Stef and Phillips for each individual each week (or month), and how many people do the Council eventual aim to house at this location?						
	Councillor Hiller responded:						
	There are 57 properties currently available to the council all of which are occupied. As Councillor Walsh has made public a number of times the costs range from £19.50 a night for a one bedroomed flat to £50.00 a night for a five-bedroomed house and properties will be made available in due course. Thank you, Mr Mayor.						

ſ

	APPENDIX	. /
	Councillor Fower asked a supplementary question:	
	Thank you very much for that answer. My supplementary question is what assurances can the Cabinet Member give myself and other members of this chamber as to what will happen when the present contract stroke lease runs out in a couple of years' time at St Michael's Gate because obviously I and probably a bit like the Cabinet Member are mindful and potentially fearful that somebody like Luton Borough Council might come in and take over. So I am just looking for assurances. Thank you.	
	Councillor Hiller responded:	
	Thank you for the supplementary question. As this is now within my portfolio Mr Mayor I'll answer any question about it factually. Quite what happens in three years' time or nearly three years' time I think we need to determine nearer to that date Councillor Fower.	
	Mr Mayor I am aware it must really irritate some of the Opposition Members that these properties were bought by an independent firm from an independent seller in a legitimate and lawful transaction. This council was offered the facility thereafter, and any half sensible independent accommodator would have find that this council took the right decision at that time. What would the Opposition would have done Mr Mayor? Would they have buried their heads and hoped that these so called nasty people would have just gone away? It wouldn't have happened like that would it?	
	Of course, I agree that what this firm has done isn't moralistic or it's not nice Mr Mayor but my colleagues not taking that decision would have resulted in an additional burden on our hard-pressed tax payers, our schools and our already stretched front line services.	
	Mr Mayor, this administration doesn't shy away from taking difficult decisions forced upon it by circumstances completely beyond its control and continued attempts to make political capital out of this have been rejected by the public as the Lib Dems result in the recent East Ward by-election very clearly demonstrated. Thank you, Mr Mayor.	
13.	Question from Councillor Bisby	-
	To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development	
	On three occasions in the last few weeks, Persimmon have flouted planning applications, were told not to proceed any more but did. It took me to continually raise the issue on several fronts, such as planning and Health and Safety to get Persimmon to actually stop what they were doing. This was achieved by legal threats by one of our senior officers.	
	I am all for builders building homes, but for them to break planning rules and regulations and put the public's Health and Safety at risk, including the new grounds of the primary school, I ask what powers are available to stop builders and prevent them from continued breaking of regulations. I would also ask what penalties especially in the Persimmon case, can the council impose?	
	Councillor Hiller responded:	
	If unauthorised development is likely to cause significant harm the council has enforcement actions it might take to stop it such as Temporary Stop Notices which last for 28 days, actual Stop Notices and Injunctions.	
	A stop can only be applied to the activities that are causing the identified harm and not wider construction activity about which we don't have any concerns.	

The Council itself can't impose any penalties, financial or otherwise on the parties associated with the site although we can seek to recover any costs we incurred in taking direct action to address the breach of planning control. A failure to comply with notices or injunctions will of course risk court action being taken.

14. Question from Councillor Sandford

To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development

For nearly three years, ever since it first appeared in our Local Transport Plan, I have been asking when local Councillors and the public are going to be properly consulted about the proposed scheme to remove the Rhubarb Bridge at the junction of Lincoln Road and the Soke Parkway. Earlier this year several million pounds were set aside in the Transport Capital Programme for the project. A few weeks ago a notice appeared in the Forward Plan that the cabinet was going to plan to award the contract before the end of June.

We all know that the current bridge is near the end of its life but local people have real concerns that what replaces it must maximise safety and benefit for pedestrians and cyclists, many hundreds of whom use the current bridge every day. They are concerned that by the time any consultation does take place, all the main decisions will have been made and it will be quite simply a take it or leave it situation. Can I ask the Cabinet Member yet again when will public consultation take place and will it be meaningful consultation or just a rubber-stamping PR exercise?

Councillor Hiller responded:

In choosing to invest £5.5m the council has obviously recognised not only the key nature of this junction but also make the changes necessary so that it can continue to operate effectively. You are absolutely right Councillor Sandford to state we all know the current bridge is near the end of its life and I can tell you what I am sure you must already know that a replacement costs would be massively expensive.

A consultation exercise will most certainly be undertaken and an information event will be held. I don't yet have specific date or venue but as soon as we have I will ensure all members are informed in good time and information will be publicised in the local media and on line.

We will also ensure that local businesses, bus service operators, taxi providers, the Peterborough Disability Forum, the RNIB, the Cycle Forum and schools are all consulted Mr Mayor. I can assure you Councillor Sandford it isn't a done deal on the final design because all, I repeat all, comments will be assessed and considered. Thank you.

Councillor Sandford asked a supplementary question:

Quite often in this chamber I express very stron**g** opinions about what I want to happen and this particular case I genuinely have an open mind about what should be done to deal with the problems at this particular location. As Councillor Hiller said I am no fan of the current bridge but I really must press him because there are £5.5m of public funds at stake here.

This has been in our local transport plan for three years or four years and I keep asking will he tell local Councillors or local people what is going to happen. £5.5m is a lot of money. Can you tell us what is going to happen to this as a result of that?

Councillor Hiller responded:

I thank Councillor Sandford for the follow up question. In essence the bridges are being replaced with an AP grade crossing which is a level crossing which will be a lot more

efficient. It will ease traffic flow. It will most probably reduce congestion and it will most probably reduce environmental issues down there.

I should point out Mr Mayor, that the concept for the proposed scheme is in our fourth Local Transport Plan as Councillor Sandford alluded to which went out to consultation and was presented at the Sustainable Growth & Environmental Capital Scrutiny Committee over two years ago in January 2015. So the principle of what is being proposed is well known and accepted. The forthcoming consultation which you are rightly wanting details for will focus on the detailed part of the scheme and I can assure you it is imminent. Thank you.

15. **Question from Councillor Hussain**

To Councillor Hiller, Cabinet Member for Growth, Planning, and Housing Development

I have been asked frequently by my constituents about the North Westgate Development, so I would like to ask the relevant Cabinet Member to update us as to what the current state of play is with the North Westgate and what efforts are being made to bring this long overdue much needed development in Central Ward to fruition?

Councillor Hiller responded:

I have been part of the North Westgate discussions, planning applications and subsequent appeals over the last few years and I can assure all Members here tonight it's complicated. I am also aware as most of us do that it represents the next great opportunity for continuing the city centre's regeneration after our success' with Fletton Quays. But as I say it's a challenging one.

As Members may be aware, there are in excess of thirty land ownerships across the site and completing the initial land assembly for starting any development will take time.

Members will recall that we have already allocated £15m towards taking this site forward and the Council is engaging now with existing land owners, and will extend this work over the coming weeks and months Mr Mayor. We've also begun the work to establish major constraints facing the site in terms of highways, utilities, legal issues and so on, which is an essential first step in developing a viable, deliverable master plan.

Over the coming months this preliminary work will continue and contribute to the development of a commercially viable scheme with a mix of uses to benefit the city centre and the wider area. Central Ward will of course be updated regularly with any significant progress reports. Thank you, Mr Mayor.

Councillor Hussain asked a supplementary question:

I just want to thank my colleague for his response and just ask him can I please ask that North West Development is perused with a vigour as people in my Central Ward are getting extremely impatient at the lack of progress and I would like to see some timescale to realise this. Thank you.

Councillor Hill responded:

So would we all Mr Mayor.

16. Question from Councillor Peach

To Councillor Walsh, Cabinet Member for Communities

Could the Cabinet Member please update me on progress and consultation with residents of the £7.5 million for the Millfield Area?

Residents warmly welcome this money but want an input into how the money may be spent and would like some idea of the timetable to completion.

In light of the ASB in the Century Square area could attention be given to funds for this area, just off Lincoln/Alma Road?

Councillor Walsh may respond:

The £7.5m investment in the Millfield, New England and Gladstone areas is, as you say, a very welcome development and it is vital we spend the money in the most effective way possible.

Members will recall that a proportion of the funding was designated for public realm works, with the remainder being earmarked for a community building on land adjacent to Century Square.

To-date, officers have met with or spoken to Ward Councillors and to other community leaders, and commissioned a short piece of work to evaluate the impact this funding could have in addressing some of the entrenched challenges the area faces. This work is now complete.

A project manager is now being identified who will shortly be arranging a Local Action Group meeting and a Can-Do Forum to discuss outline proposals in more depth. Whilst we are not proposing a lengthy public consultation period, it is, as you say, vital that we hear from Councillors, community leaders, businesses and communities themselves before finalising the details. We are hoping, therefore, for full engagement from these parties.

We anticipate work beginning on the ground during this financial year.

Regarding the ASB issues in the Century Area, a multi-agency meeting has taken place and there are emerging plans to resolve matters once and for all.

Councillor Peach asked a supplementary question:

Thank you very much for the answer and everybody will be pleased to hear that the project is ongoing the council granting the money in the first place. When you said it is anticipated that the work will be on the ground in this financial year that obviously takes us up to April next year, would that be work starting on the community building or on the whole project which includes the public realm works?

Councillor Walsh responded:

I suggest that we get together and discuss this in details and you can get all that supplementary information that you want. Thank you.

8. <u>Questions on notice to:</u>

iv) The Combined Authority Representatives

1. Question from Councillor Murphy

To Councillor Holdich, Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member of the Combined Authority

As you are aware significant transport and infrastructure leadership powers have been transferred to the mayor and the combined authority even though last year there was no consultation with local residents on transport powers being transferred. There has been much coverage about new transport initiatives including a Cambridge underground, new rail services, light rail and new roads. However I believe that pedestrian and cycle routes are very important. Will the Peterborough representative on the combined authority please make representations for funding for a new pedestrian and cycle footbridge to the Southbank development in Peterborough and make the case for and give support for an improved, reliable and affordable public bus service in Peterborough and the surrounding rural areas?

Councillor Holdich responded:

You are correct that the Combined Authority do have new transport planning powers. At a future meeting of the Combined Authority there will be a report on the new transport plan. Preparations for that new plan will include consulting the public on cycling routes and bus services. The public will therefore have the opportunity to feed into the development of these important matters as part of that process as we will as Councillors. But I do have to say Mr Mayor the purpose of this new report is to give Councillors the opportunity to ask questions on decisions being made by the Combined Authority. As such your question is not directed at work that has already taken place and it should be. Thank you very much.

Councillor Murphy asked a supplementary question:

If you will bear with me for the benefit of the public who may be listening they don't get to see these questions. The question was to our representative on the new Combined Authority which has millions of pounds to spend this year asking specifically about a bridge over the River Nene for pedestrians and cyclists, asking our representatives to put pressure on the new transport authority to fund that bridge rather than underground ideas, railways, etc. etc. throughout the county of Cambridgeshire and the unitary authority of Peterborough. So I'm asking again will Councillor Holdich join with me in asking the Mayor to spend some of those millions on improved facilities in Peterborough, i.e. A bridge over the River Nene to the South bank also look at improving buses so they are reliable, public transport is reliable and safe and affordable in Peterborough. Would he agree with me that rather than spend millions of pounds on an election for posts, spend millions on administration and have three directors of transport throughout those two authorities to spend money on a bridge?

Councillor Holdich responded:

Yes. No.